

УДК 168.5

K.I. BAKHTIYAROV

Universal Language of the Metascience

Bakhtiyarov Kamil Ibragimovich

Department of Higher Mathematics,

V.P. Goryachkin Moscow State Agronomical Engineering University.

Timiryazevskaya 58, build. 15, Moscow, 127550, Russian Federation.

e-mail: kamil.bakhtiyarov@gmail.com

Leibniz set a problem of the Universal characteristic, but he is extremely focused on the mathematical explanation instead of **metasymbolic** consideration of the method. The symbols of a metascience are energy maximums and minimums. Creation of a block matrix (by means of the left tensor square) allowed to reveal macrolevel. The alphabet of educational metasymbols solves a problem of polystructural integration of knowledge naturally through their comparison. The genetic table has 4 blocks of the designated and anti-designated pairs of metasymbols which are based on the universal language. Universal language unites various sciences and eras, considering everything from the point of view of Eternity, allowing to expect new results.

Keywords: universal characteristic, maximums and minimums, metasymbols, Universal language

My intention was to promote
philosophy approach to a science form. . .
T.I. Oyzerman. Metaphilosophy

Great Leibniz considered improvement of invention art as a whole as his main, prime target. He set a problem of the Universal characteristic. However to set a problem and to solve it are absolutely different things. As a twist of fate, in article “New method *for maximums and minimums. . .*” (the italics are mine — *K.B.*).

Primary elements form four symbols of a metascience as energy maximums and minimums:

\wedge — a maximum, *A* — Adenin of genic code and Artist psychological type — we will designate as a sign **A**;

\vee — a minimum, *C* — Cytosine and Power psychological type — we will designate as a reversed sign **V**;

\cap — a weak maximum, *g* — the Guanine and Media psychological type — we will designate as a sign **n**;

∪ — a weak minimum, Uracyl and Scientist psychological type — a sign **u**.

Four primary elements of the ancients: air ∩ is the cut sign of fire ∆, water ∪ is the cut sign of the earth ∇. The alphabet of educational metasymbols solves a problem of polystructural integration of knowledge naturally through their comparison. Unlike the scanner, we see through a brain and consequently we find in the right tensor square “Petukhov’s constellation”. Transition to the left tensor square allowed to condense *constellation* into a monolithic block [1]. The genetic table has 4 blocks **of the designated** and anti-designated pairs of metasymbols which are based on the universal language:

Gene-blocks		and	Pairs			
*n	*A		<i>nn</i>	An	nA	AA
			<i>Vn</i>	un	VA	uA
*V	*u		<i>nV</i>	AV	<i>nu</i>	Au
			VV	<i>uV</i>	<i>Vu</i>	uu

The idea of positionality goes back to Ibn Arabi’s concentric circles [2, p. 65–73] that were implemented a century later in the Ramon Llull’s machine that admired Leibniz.

The author used the diagram of genetic code-pair (macrolevel, microlevel) as an example code — to pair (microlevel, macrolevel). Douglas Hofstadter proved the necessity to transit from molecular microlevel to anthropomorphical macrolevel — from molecules to characters [6, p. 235].

The block matrix has 4 “psychological suits”: ♠ *n media, ♡ *A artists, ♠ *V power, ♣ *u scientists. It adequately describes the genesis and the mechanism of paradigm change during scientific revolutions [5]. ***Small shift prepares big shift.***

During polysemic reduction big dominants of macrolevel have the priority (with small letters of non-dominants any letters of microlevel have the priority). **The designated values are the** codes that generate *more than one amino acid*, and non-designated values are the codes that generate *the unique amino acid*, providing the higher stability. The designation is provided by vowels, and antidesignation is provided by consonants. Thus classification of the designated and anti-designated pairs for the first time was received through the genetics. Metasymbols generated new result in logic [4].

Leibniz’s idea was amazing because he knew neither genetics, nor Jung’s psychological types. Only achievements of a modern science allowed to solve

the problem of the Universal characteristic set by him. Not occasionally vulgar materialism considered genetics and cybernetics as the main enemies. Like N. Wiener Author considers Leibnitz his scientific patron. Change of scientific eras occurs through gross (dozen of dozens) years (almost according to H. Wells). Three “Critics” by Kant were published in gross of years after Leibniz’s birth ($1646 + 144 = 1790$). An essence *of Copernican revolution* of great Kant against visibility is that thinking is above sensual consciousness. He emphasizes basic need *of shift* from specific implementation. In the Soviet philosophy his doctrine was, as a rule, underestimated [3, p. 28].

The general concept takes from the specific one its image and soul mates are recognized in an attire of various sciences, as projections of the general concepts. *The invisible soul should be wearing a figure-tailored suit that reveals it*, like the invisible man who walks through the city. In a triad — Leibniz’s thesis, Kants’s antithesis and metasynthesis — the Author picks up the scientific torch with “Symbols of universal language. . .” in 2 grosses of years after Leibniz’s death ($1716 + 288 = 2004$). Universal language unites various sciences and eras, considering everything from the point of view of Eternity, allowing to expect new results.

References

- [1] Bakhtiyarov, K. *Logika i psikhogenetika s tochki zreniya informatiki* [Logic and psychogenetics terms of Informatics]. Moscow: URSS, 2014. 208 pp. (In Russian)
- [2] Ibn Arabi. “Sostavlenie okruzhnostei” [Drawing circles], *Izbrannoe*, vol. 1. M., 2013. 214 pp. (In Russian)
- [3] Oizerman, T. *Kant i Gegel’* [Kant and Gegel]. Moscow: Kanon plus, 2008. 520 pp. (In Russian)
- [4] Bakhtiyarov, K. “The dial of the circular complementarity of the designated and antidesignated pairs”, *Studia Humana*, 2012, vol. 1, no 3/4, pp. 73–77.
- [5] Bakhtiyarov, K. “Metascience symbols (universal characteristic)”, *Logical Investigations*, 2014, vol. 20, pp. 213–223.
- [6] Hofstadter, D. *I am a Strange Loop*. New York: Basic Books, 2007. 436 pp.